Contrasting health visions in the Indian election

Opposition parties promise to increase health spending, while the ruling National Democratic Alliance plans to continue existing policies. Dinesh C Sharma reports.

The ongoing election to choose members of the lower house of the Indian Parliament, Lok Sabha, is halfway through, with four phases of polling ending on May 13. It is the largest such exercise globally, with 960 million eligible electors. The National Democratic Alliance (NDA), consisting of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) led by Prime Minister Narendra Modi and some regional parties, is seeking a third term in office. Its main challenger is the Indian National Developmental Inclusive Alliance (INDIA), which includes the Indian National Congress (INC) and several national and regional parties.

Health appears in the manifestoes of all the major parties, but with a stark ideological difference between the approach of the right-wing BJP and the centrist and leftist parties opposing it. The BJP manifesto, styled as Modi’s Guarantees, promises a continuation of the Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana (PM-JAY)—a government-funded, private insurance-led health-delivery scheme for people with low or no income launched in 2018—and expansion of tertiary-care hospitals similar to the All-India Institute of Medical Sciences and the generic medicine-store network.

Conversely, manifestoes of the centrist and leftist parties promise a substantial increase in public expenditure on health and provide ways to roll out UHC. Emphasising that “health of the people and health care are the responsibility of the government and that all citizens have the right to health”, the INC has promised to provide “universal and free” health care, including diagnostics, treatment, and medicines in the public sector. The budgetary allocation for health will be gradually increased to 4% of total government expenditure by 2028–29, the manifesto says.

The All India Trinamool Congress (AITC), which is in power in the state of West Bengal, seeks to enhance the allocation for health care to 6% of the total budget, to enable upgradation of health infrastructure in villages and improve primary care delivery to susceptible populations such as children with anaemia, pregnant women, and ageing people with low or no income in rural areas. Another regional party, Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam, in power in the state of Tamil Nadu, says it would strive for health allocation to reach 3% of GDP. The Communist Party of India (Marxist; CPI(M)), in power in the state of Kerala, has promised the “right to free health care” and an increase in public expenditure on health to 5% of GDP, with at least 2% contributed by the central government.

The BJP manifesto makes no explicit reference to increasing public expenditure on health or ways to achieve universal health care (UHC). The National Health Policy, announced in 2017, set the target of increasing public expenditure on health to 2.5% of GDP by 2025. Currently, public expenditure on health is 1.35% of GDP, with the central government contributing a mere 0.3%.

Conversely, manifestoes of the centrist and leftist parties promise a substantial increase in public expenditure on health and provide ways to roll out UHC. Emphasising that “health of the people and health care are the responsibility of the government and that all citizens have the right to health”, the INC has promised to provide “universal and free” health care, including diagnostics, treatment, and medicines in the public sector. The budgetary allocation for health will be gradually increased to 4% of total government expenditure by 2028–29, the manifesto says.

The All India Trinamool Congress (AITC), which is in power in the state of West Bengal, seeks to enhance the allocation for health care to 6% of the total budget, to enable upgradation of health infrastructure in villages and improve primary care delivery to susceptible populations such as children with anaemia, pregnant women, and ageing people with low or no income in rural areas. Another regional party, Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam, in power in the state of Tamil Nadu, says it would strive for health allocation to reach 3% of GDP. The Communist Party of India (Marxist; CPI(M)), in power in the state of Kerala, has promised the “right to free health care” and an increase in public expenditure on health to 5% of GDP, with at least 2% contributed by the central government.

The Aam Aadmi Party, in power in the states of Delhi and Punjab, also supports enhanced public expenditure on health. Most parties have favoured strengthening the health workforce and increased compensation for front-line health workers.

PM-JAY, the flagship health scheme of the NDA Government, has been severely criticised in the manifestoes of all non-BJP parties, with most of them promising to scrap the scheme if voted into power. The INC said that “the current universal health-care programme will be redesigned for different sections of the people and will allow the enlistment of private hospitals, non-profit health facilities,
and health centres under the scheme”. The CPI(M) would like PM-JAY to be replaced with a “public-centred UHC system”.

Three states—West Bengal, Odisha, and Delhi—where non-BJP parties are in power did not implement PM-JAY and continued with their respective state-level UHC models. The financial protection scheme Swasthya Sathi in West Bengal, according to the AITC manifesto, has helped the state to achieve UHC and vastly improve health indicators. For example, it states that the infant mortality rate decreased from 32 to 19 per 1000 livebirths and that the maternal mortality rate decreased from 117 to 103 per 100 000 livebirths between 2011 and 2020 due to the promotion of institutional deliveries. If voted to power in the central government as part of INDIA, the opposition alliance, AITC has promised to replace PM-JAY with a scheme similar to Swasthya Sathi. The Biju Janata Dal, in power in the state of Odisha, has promised to extend the Biju Swasthya Kalyan Yojana, a health-protection scheme for people who are economically vulnerable launched in the state in 2018, to families with middle income as well.

The BJP has reiterated a promise made in its 2019 manifesto—the elimination of tuberculosis by 2025. “We will intensify existing efforts to ensure elimination of [tuberculosis], leprosy, lymphatic filariasis, measles and rubella, trachoma, and kala-azar by facilitating timely testing and access to medicines”, the 2024 manifesto says. “These diseases affect primarily the poorest of the poor and those with no or poor access to quality health-care services”, said Jai Prakash Narain, a global health expert (Kullu, India). To translate this commitment into reality, he said, “the next government will have to ensure a high level of political will both at central and state levels; stringent monitoring and evaluation system and accountability; and, most important, lending high priority to health-system strengthening and financing at the district level”.

Although manifestoes mention health-related promises, the subject is generally missing from speeches and campaigns, except for occasional references to PM-JAY in Modi’s speeches. “Health is usually a poll issue during state assembly elections, and it has helped parties win elections in the recent past, as it happened in Delhi and Kerala. But it is not so in parliamentary elections”, R V Asokan, President of the Indian Medical Association (IMA), told The Lancet. The IMA is trying to highlight health-related issues during elections by advocating its own health manifesto.

“We were anticipating drastic changes in the health system after the experience of the pandemic, but this did not happen. So, we decided to release a health manifesto”, Asokan said. UHC, the IMA manifesto notes, should be ensured primarily by the public sector, supplemented with strategic purchases from the private sector. “UHC should move from an aspirational goal to an entitled provision”, it says. For this idea to become reality, the manifesto states that public investment in health should increase to 5% of GDP, with a focus on strengthening primary care. In addition, it emphasises disease prevention, health education, and health promotion, moving beyond the current trend of disproportionately prioritising curative approaches in the public sector.

IMA branches across the country have been told to engage with candidates of different parties. As of May 10, more than 280 candidates in 181 constituencies have been briefed by IMA functionaries. The target is to reach candidates in 4is isnt!encies by the end of the campaign. “We hope that some of these candidates will make it to the new parliament and they will advocate the issues raised in our manifesto”, Asokan said.

Jan Swasthya Abhiyan (JSA), a coalition of health non-governmental organisations that issued the People’s Health Manifesto in March, is contacting voters through social media, highlighting the needs to increase public health expenditure in health and scrap the private insurance-led delivery model. “Resources should be redirected towards strengthening public health-care systems and ensuring universal access to quality care”, said Indranil Mukhopadhyay, a member of JSA.

The promises made in most of the political manifestoes appear to be in line with the voter preference towards a primary role for the government in providing health-care services. A voter survey conducted by Lokniti, part of the Centre for the Study of Developing Societies; King’s India Institute, part of King’s College London; Royal Holloway, University of London; and the Centre for Social and Economic Progress (CSEP) in 2023 found that voters viewed health as one of the most important issues for improving development—the same level of priority as education and less important than job creation only. Voters prioritised improvements to government health-care facilities rather than improved access to private facilities.

“It is not clear if voters are disappointed about the lack of salience of health in electoral campaigns, but what emerged in our survey was that, despite it being an important issue for citizens, it is not something that they bring up often with their elected representatives”, said Sandhya Venkateswaran, Senior Fellow at the CSEP and a member of the research team. “Compared to employment, which is the highest priority for most people, health is a less emotive issue, and campaigns invariably focus on emotive narratives.” The ongoing election campaign is no different.
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